

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/385,114

Applicant(s)

Whitehouse

Examiner

Hope Robinson

Group Art Unit

1653

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Hope Robinson(3) Leslie Henry(2) Karen Carlson

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Jan 18, 2002Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Claim(s) discussed: Application in general

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Informed Ms. Henry that the finality will be removed from the above application as a double patenting rejection will be issued. Ms. Henry informed me that she is aware of the similarity between the present file and the copending application which was recently allowed (notice of allowability has been issued). Ms. Henry also indicated that a Terminal Disclaimer was not yet filed because applicant was not sure which application would be allowed and what claims. Ms. Henry described the two applications as a genus and species with some overlap. Informed Ms. Henry that upon reviewing the allowed claims an obvious type double patenting rejection would be issued as discussed with Primary Examiner Karen Carlson.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

*KC Carlson*  
KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D.  
PRIMARY EXAMINER